

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary

Semester: Spring 2019

Course Owner: All

Courses:

- ECON 1120
 - DIS 276
 - DIS 277

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2019 Course Owner: All
 Course: N/A CID: N/A
 Title: N/A
 Instructor: N/A
 29 Responses, 72 Enrolled, 40.28% Response

The following questions are to be answered using a 5 point scale, where "1" and "5" will be defined and "3" always stands for the midpoint. For example, if a course is slightly below the midpoint in a given aspect, mark "2" for that item. Only one response is allowed for each question.

RATING SCALE 1= Defined extreme
 2=
 3= Midpoint
 4=
 5= Defined extreme

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
N01. Do you feel that the TA chose material that was valuable and appropriate? 1 = inappropriate, e.g., too difficult, too easy 5 = just right	4.74	0.51	27	0	0	1	5	21
N02. How well was the TA's presentation of material organized? 1 = congested; disorganized 5 = clear; concise	4.70	0.59	27	0	0	2	4	21
N03. Was there ample opportunity to ask questions in sections? 1 = no opportunity 5 = ample chance	4.74	0.51	27	0	0	1	5	21
N04. Was the TA willing to provide help for the students who needed it? 1 = seemed unwilling to help 5 = seemed interested in being helpful	4.77	0.50	26	0	0	1	4	21
N05. Was the TA able to explain difficult material clearly and concisely? 1 = not at all 5 = extremely well	4.56	0.68	27	0	0	3	6	18
N06. How much did you learn from the discussion sections? 1 = nothing 5 = a great deal	4.52	0.68	27	0	0	3	7	17
N07. Did the TA hold regular office hours and make accommodations for those students who could not come at the scheduled times? 1 = missed office hours regularly and was not accommodating to students with conflicts 5 = held regular office hours and was accommodating to students with time conflicts	4.65	0.63	23	0	0	2	4	17
N08. The TA deserves an overall rating of: 1 = a very poor TA 5 = an excellent TA	4.63	0.61	27	0	0	2	6	19

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2019 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
29 Responses, 72 Enrolled, 40.28% Response

C01. What do you feel was the most valued part of the sections?

49225. The note sheets

49275. I felt as if I learned more from section than from lecture. Zhou Fan is an outstanding TA, and I would like to thank him from the bottom of my heart for making macroeconomics material understandable and easy to learn.

87497. he's very good at explaining information that I didn't understand in lecture, he encourages us to ask questions and he is eager to answer them, I find that I learn more in section than in lecture

87436. He explained material very well and could explain it in many different ways depending on needs of the student

53473. Zhou was an amazing TA who's note sheets were extremely helpful.

56880. Zhou is very very clear and concise. He really understands the macroeconomy well and wants all of his students to achieve the same understanding.

50132. Notes are most helpful.

80802. Best TA ever. Explained everything so well, I could learn the entire class simply from him

56976. Always discussing what was taught in class, so we can better understand the concepts.

46585. He gave out handouts with all the needed material if you were not fully paying attention.

70289. The handouts

50101. The review sheets that we'd follow along with.

50176. The handouts and practice problems were very helpful.

66845. The chance to talk and ask questions to the TA.

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2019 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
29 Responses, 72 Enrolled, 40.28% Response

C02. What do you feel is the least valued part of the sections?

49225. Not sure, but they felt repetitive at times since they reiterated lecture material

87497. none

56880. The least valued part is the discussion quizzes.

50132. No least valued parts.

56976. None.

46585. He would stop for a long time to facilitates a better atmosphere for asking questions.

50101. N/A

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2019 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
29 Responses, 72 Enrolled, 40.28% Response

C03. What improvements (if any) should be made to the sections?

49225. Perhaps use some time to explore newer concepts (that aren't covered in lecture). If this is optional/extra material it could increase interest in the section and if it was mandatory material (ie. used to speed up the course pace) it would reward attendance.

49275. nothing

87497. none

56880. I think Zhou should make himself more available during testing periods.

50132. TA could present in advance the lecture materials so that we could be more prepared while taking the lecture.

56976. None.

46585. None that I can see.

70289. None

50101. Maybe some more practice problems.

82976. I would have preferred a more "hands on" approach to sections where students solve problems and then go over them as a group led by the TA. Just listening to the TA was less engaging and more difficult to apply.

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2019 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
29 Responses, 72 Enrolled, 40.28% Response

C04. General critique

49225. Good section, slightly repetitive since it was essentially a lecture recap most of the time.

86928. There were several times when he answered a simple question incorrectly in section.

87497. very good

63913. Fantastic TA, one of the best I have seen if not the best.

53473. Zhou went above and beyond any expectations I had

56880. Zhou is one of the best TAs that I have ever had.

50132. Fine. A good TA leading a good session.

56976. Good, nothing should change.

46585. None that I can see.

50101. Easily the best TA for a lecture - discussion based class I've ever had.

50176. Very helpful TA and discussions in section helped me better understand lecture material.